

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

μV

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/423,401	11/05/1999	Gang Li	36368/DRK	6360	
75	90 01/30/2006		EXAMINER		
Daniel R. Kimbell			JACKSON JR, JEROME		
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE P.O. Box 7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Pasadena, CA	91109-7068		2815		
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/423,40	1	LI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Jerome Jac	ckson Jr.	2815	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statu	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even dication. tory period will apply and will	S COMMUNICATION  nt, however, may a reply be tin  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.	
Any r	re to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi eply received by the Office later than three months afte ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status		•			
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice	n)⊠ This action is no or allowance except f	on-final. for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			/	
5)	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application (s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application (s) 1-22 and 26-Claim(s) 1-23 and 25 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 23 and 25 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 24 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers  The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 05 November 10 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	en and/or election reserved.  Examiner.  1999 is/are: a) accord to the drawing(s) because correction is require	quirement. cepted or b)⊠ objecte held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ <i>a</i> )[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International see the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been ocuments have been the priority documental Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
<b>A </b>			•		
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892)  of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC)  nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT  r No(s)/Mail Date	D-948) FO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Figure 2b should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. Figure 11 should also be amended to change 17, 18, 19 to 27, 28, 29. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/423,401

Art Unit: 2815

Claims 23- and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Northrup '583.

Northrup shows in figure 3 and states on col. 5 lines 30-65 a heterostructure 12, an oxide or nitride 31 (col. 2 lines 44-58 and col. 5) formed on the substrate, a metallic interlayer 32 deposited on oxide 31, and post-annealing the oxide and other layered structures. Claim 23 is rejected. In regard to claim 25 it would have been obvious to have lifted-off mask 31 in areas where 32 is designed to disorder as lift-off is a well known method for mask delineation. Claim 26 is rejected as "repetition" is fundamentally obvious to provide multiple areas of disorder. Claims 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Northrup in view of Plumb '352.

In regard to claim 25 it would have been obvious from Plumb to have practiced a lift-off technique to remove the oxide mask in Northrup for simplified manufacturing.

Claim 24 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-1730. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 571-272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/423,401

Art Unit: 2815

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jj

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER